

ADEQUACY OF THE SETTLEMENT, AND FROM PURSUING THE PLAINTIFF'S RELEASED CLAIMS.

The Settlement Stipulation was entered into as of April 17, 2023, by and among (i) plaintiff Joseph Lawrence Ligos (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of himself and the Class, and (ii) Defendant Haim Tsuff (“Defendant”).

This Notice describes the rights you may have in the Action and pursuant to the Settlement Stipulation, what steps you may take, but are not required to take, in relation to the Settlement. If the Court approves the Settlement, the Parties will ask the Court at the Settlement Hearing to enter an Order and Final Judgment dismissing the Action with prejudice in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Stipulation.

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS NOTICE?

1. The purpose of this Notice is to explain the Action, the terms of the proposed Settlement, and how the Settlement affects the legal rights of Isramco stockholders and Class Members (as defined below).
2. In a class action, one or more people and/or entities who were stockholders at the time the claim arose sue on behalf of and for the benefit of the individual class members, seeking to enforce the class members’ legal rights.
3. As described more fully in paragraph 56 below, Class Members have the right to object to the proposed Settlement and the application by Plaintiff’s Counsel (the “Fee Application”) for an award of fees and expenses (the “Fee and Expense Award”). Class Members have the right to appear and be heard at the Settlement Hearing, which will be held before The Honorable Sam Glasscock III on September 6, 2023, at 10:00 a.m., at the Court of Chancery Courthouse in Sussex County, 34 The Circle, Georgetown, Delaware 19947, or by telephonic conference or videoconference (in the discretion of the Court).
4. The Court has reserved the right to adjourn or reconvene the Settlement Hearing, including consideration of the Fee Application, without further notice to you other than by announcement at the Settlement Hearing or any adjournment thereof, or notation on the docket in the Action. The Court has further reserved the right to approve the Settlement, at or after the Settlement Hearing, with such modifications as may be consented to by the Parties and without further notice of any kind.

WHAT IS THIS CASE ABOUT? WHAT HAS HAPPENED SO FAR?

THE FOLLOWING RECITATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE FINDINGS OF THE COURT AND SHOULD NOT BE UNDERSTOOD AS AN EXPRESSION OF ANY OPINION OF THE COURT AS TO THE MERITS OF ANY CLAIMS OR DEFENSES BY ANY OF THE PARTIES. IT IS BASED ON STATEMENTS OF THE PARTIES AND IS SENT FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF INFORMING YOU OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE ACTION AND OF A HEARING ON A PROPOSED SETTLEMENT SO THAT YOU MAY MAKE APPROPRIATE DECISIONS AS TO STEPS YOU MAY OR MAY NOT WISH TO TAKE IN RELATION TO THIS LITIGATION.

5. On May 20, 2019, Isramco, through its Board, announced it had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”) with Naphtha pursuant to which Naphtha would acquire all unaffiliated outstanding common stock of Isramco for \$121.40 per share in cash (the “Merger Consideration”) (such transaction, the “Merger”).
6. On August 1, 2019, Isramco filed a preliminary proxy statement pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) in connection with the

Merger. On the same date, Isramco filed a privatization statement pursuant to Section 13(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 with the SEC in connection with the Merger.

7. On September 6, 2019, Isramco filed a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (together, with all amendments thereto, the “Proxy”) with the SEC in connection with the Merger.

8. On October 22, 2019, at a Special Meeting of stockholders, the holders of a majority of Isramco’s outstanding unaffiliated stock voted to approve the Merger.

9. On October 25, 2019, Isramco filed a Certificate of Merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware, causing Isramco to become an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Naphtha.

10. On June 4, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Verified Shareholder Class Action Complaint challenging the Merger (the “Complaint”). The Complaint asserted claims that Tsuff, Naphtha, Tsuff’s alleged affiliates J.O.E.L. Jerusalem Oil Exploration Ltd., Equital, Ltd., YHK Investment L.P., YHK General Manager Ltd., United Kingsway Ltd. (the “Affiliate Defendants”), the Special Committee, Isramco, and Isramco Board directors Joseph From (“From”) and Frans Sluiter (“Sluiter”) (together with From and Isramco, the “Isramco Defendants”) breached their fiduciary duties in connection with the Merger and were unjustly enriched, and that Negev, Naphtha, and the Affiliate Defendants aided and abetted the alleged breaches.

11. On August 5, 2020, Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed his claims against the Affiliate Defendants.

12. On September 21, 2020, Tsuff and Naphtha, Negev, the Isramco Defendants, and the Special Committee Defendants each filed separate motions to dismiss the Complaint, asserting that Plaintiff failed to plead a plausible cause of action because, *inter alia*, the Special Committee was formed at the first indication of interest and was empowered with full decision-making authority, consisted of independent directors, and approved the Merger in good faith and with informed business judgment, and the fully informed holders of a majority of the unaffiliated shares of Company stock approved the Merger.

13. Between September 21, 2020 and February 26, 2021, the parties briefed motions to dismiss.

14. On May 21, 2021, the Court heard oral argument on the motions to dismiss.

15. On May 26, 2021, Plaintiff dismissed his claims against the Isramco Defendants with prejudice, pursuant to Court of Chancery Rule 41(a)(2).

16. On August 31, 2021, the Court issued a memorandum opinion denying Tsuff’s motion to dismiss the Complaint and deferred ruling on the remaining motions to dismiss. In denying Tsuff’s motion, the Court held that the record, given the current stage of the pleadings and viewed in a light most favorable to the Plaintiff, stated a plausible cause of action based on the omission of the Board’s November 3, 2017 reauthorization from the Proxy.

17. On November 8, 2021, Tsuff and Naphtha filed their answer to the Complaint.

18. On March 1, 2022, Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed Naphtha and Negev conditioned on their agreement to submit to discovery under the Rules of the Court of Chancery as if they were parties to the Action.

19. Between November 2021 and November 2022, the Parties engaged in substantial party and nonparty discovery.

20. Throughout this period, Plaintiff served subpoenas *duces tecum* and *ad testificandum* to Kroll Securities, LLC f/k/a Duff & Phelps Securities, LLC and Kroll, LLC f/k/a Duff & Phelps, LLC.

21. Throughout the course of the Action, Defendant, Naphtha, Negev and third parties produced to Plaintiff a total of 10,382 pages of discovery.

22. On July 21, 2022, following the submission of mediation statements, the Parties participated in an in-person mediation session with David M. Murphy of Philips ADR (“Mr. Murphy”).

23. Following the July 21, 2022 mediation with Mr. Murphy, the Parties, through their respective counsel, engaged in arm’s-length negotiations concerning a possible settlement of the Action with the assistance of Mr. Murphy. On November 1, 2022, Mr. Murphy delivered a mediator’s proposal to the Parties for purposes of resolving the Action. The Parties accepted the mediator’s proposal on November 4, 2022. Following further discussions, on November 4, 2022, the Parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the Action.

WHAT ARE THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT?

24. Set forth below is a summary of the principal terms of the proposed Settlement, as agreed to by the Parties, subject to the approval of the Court. The following statements are a summary. Please refer to the Settlement Stipulation, which is publicly available as indicated in paragraph 58 below, for a full and complete statement of the terms of the Settlement.

Certain Relevant Definitions:

25. “Account” means an interest-bearing escrow account which is to be maintained by the Settlement Administrator in connection with this Settlement, into which the Settlement Amount shall be deposited and maintained as is customary in settlement accounts of this nature.

26. “Administrative Costs” means all costs and expenses associated with disbursing the Settlement Amount, calculating any payment owed to any Eligible Closing Date Stockholder or resolving any dispute relating thereto, or any other cost or expense otherwise incurred by the Settlement Administrator in administering or carrying out the terms of the Settlement, including Notice Costs paid from the Settlement Fund.

27. “Class” means the non-opt-out class stipulated to by the Parties for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Court of Chancery Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2), defined as all persons and entities who owned shares of common stock of Isramco at any point between May 20, 2019 and October 25, 2019, including their respective successors in interest, successors, predecessors in interest, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them. Excluded from the Class are Defendants and the Former Defendants, their affiliates, and members of their immediate families (each an “Excluded Person,” and collectively, the “Excluded Persons”).

28. “Class Member” means a Person who falls within the definition of the Class.

29. “Closing” means October 25, 2019.

30. “DTC” means the Depository Trust Company.

31. “DTC Participants” means the brokers, dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and other financial organizations on whose behalf DTC holds securities.

32. “Eligible Closing Date Beneficial Holder” means the ultimate beneficial owner of any shares of Isramco common stock held of record by Cede & Co. at the time such shares were converted into the right to receive the

Merger Consideration in connection with the closing of the Merger, provided that no Excluded Person may be an Eligible Closing Date Beneficial Holder.

33. “Eligible Closing Date Record Holder” means the record holder of any shares of Isramco common stock, other than Cede & Co., at the time such shares were converted into the right to receive the Merger Consideration in connection with the closing of the Merger, provided that no Excluded Person may be an Eligible Closing Date Record Holder.

34. “Eligible Closing Date Stockholders” means Eligible Closing Date Beneficial Holders and Eligible Closing Date Record Holders.

35. “Final” when referring to any order or award entered by the Court, means that one of the following has occurred: (i) the time for the filing or noticing of any motion for reconsideration, appeal, or other review of the order or award has expired without any such filing or notice; or (ii) the order or award has been affirmed in all material respects on an appeal or after reconsideration or other review and is no longer subject to review upon appeal, reconsideration, or other review, and the time for any petition for reconsideration, reargument, appeal or review of such order or award (or any order affirming it) has expired; provided, however, that any disputes or appeals relating solely to the amount, payment or allocation of attorneys’ fees and expenses shall have no effect on finality for purposes of determining the date on which the Order and Final Judgment became Final, and shall not prevent, limit, or otherwise affect the Order and Final Judgment.

36. “Net Settlement Amount” means the Settlement Amount as defined herein plus any interest accrued thereon after its deposit in the Account less any Administrative Costs, Taxes and Tax Expenses (defined below), and any Fee and Expense Award.

37. “Settlement Administrator” means the class action settlement administrator selected by Plaintiff’s Counsel in connection with this Settlement.

38. “Settlement Fund” means the Settlement Amount plus all interest earned thereon.

The Settlement Amount:

39. In consideration for the full and final release, settlement, dismissal, and discharge of any and all Released Claims (defined below) against the Released Parties (defined below), the Parties agreed to a payment of six million four-hundred and seventy-five thousand U.S. dollars and no cents (\$6,475,000.00) (the “Settlement Amount”) to be paid by Defendant.

Distribution of Settlement Amount/Plan of Allocation:

40. The Settlement Administrator shall allocate the Net Settlement Amount among Eligible Closing Date Stockholders on a pro rata, per-share basis and distribute the Net Settlement Amount to Eligible Closing Date Stockholders.

41. For Eligible Closing Date Beneficial Holders whose Merger Consideration was distributed through Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC, the Settlement Administrator shall send their portion of the Net Settlement Amount to DTC for distribution.

42. The Settlement Administrator shall instruct DTC Participants to distribute the Eligible Closing Date Beneficial Holders’ portion of the Net Settlement Amount to Eligible Closing Date Beneficial Holders in the same manner in which the DTC Participants distributed proceeds in connection with the Merger.

43. The Settlement Administrator shall provide DTC Participants with a list of Excluded Persons and direct the DTC Participants not to distribute any payment to any Excluded Person.

44. DTC's sole obligation in connection with the Settlement shall be to distribute the Eligible Closing Date Beneficial Holders' portion of the Net Settlement Amount to DTC Participants in accordance with the Settlement Stipulation and DTC rules and procedures, and DTC shall not be responsible for any errors in the calculation of any distribution or for any failure by the Settlement Administrator, Defendant, or Plaintiff's Counsel to identify the Excluded Persons.

45. For Eligible Closing Date Record Holders, the Settlement Administrator shall send their portion of the Net Settlement Amount to the address listed on the stockholder register or other relevant books and records of Isramco or its transfer agent.

WHAT ARE THE PARTIES' REASONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT?

46. The Settlement set forth in the Settlement Stipulation reflects the results of the Parties' negotiations and the final terms of their agreement, which was reached only after arm's-length negotiations, conducted through an experienced mediator, among the Parties, who were all represented by counsel with extensive experience and expertise in stockholder class action litigation.

47. The Parties recognize the time and expense that would be incurred by further litigation and the uncertainties inherent in such litigation and wish to settle and resolve the claims asserted by Plaintiff and the Class and all claims relating to or arising out of the Merger, the sale process leading to the Merger, and the disclosures made in connection with the Merger.

48. Defendant has denied, and continues to deny, that he has committed any wrongdoing, breaches of fiduciary duties, or violation of law of any kind or engaged in any of the wrongful acts alleged in the Complaint, and expressly maintains that he has complied with his fiduciary and/or other legal duties, and is entering into the Settlement solely to eliminate the burden and expense of further litigation.

49. The entry by Plaintiff into the Settlement Stipulation is not an admission as to the lack of merit of any claims asserted in the Action. Rather, in negotiating and evaluating the terms of the Settlement Stipulation, Plaintiff's Counsel considered: (1) the legal and factual defenses to Plaintiff's and the Class Members' claims that Defendant and Former Defendants raised and might have raised throughout the pendency of the Action; and (2) the benefits to be provided to the Class through the payment of the Settlement Amount (as defined below) and, based upon their evaluation, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel have determined that the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate to Plaintiff and the Class and that it confers substantial benefits upon the Class, particularly when compared to the risk and uncertainties of continued litigation.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF THE SETTLEMENT IS APPROVED? WHAT CLAIMS WILL THE SETTLEMENT RELEASE?

50. If the Settlement is approved, the Court will enter the Order and Final Judgment approving the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Stipulation, at which time the Action will be dismissed with prejudice on the merits.

51. If the Settlement is approved, as of the Effective Date, the Released Parties shall be deemed to be released and forever discharged from all of the Released Claims.

Relevant Definitions:

"Defendant's Released Claims" means as against the Plaintiff Released Parties, all claims and causes of action of every nature and description, whether known or unknown, whether arising under federal, state, common, or foreign law, that arise out of or relate in any way to the institution, prosecution, or settlement of the claims against the Defendant Released Parties in the Action, except for claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement.

“Defendant Released Parties” means Defendant and Former Defendants, their past or present subsidiaries, parents, successors and predecessors, officers, directors, agents, employees, counsel, insurers, and any person, firm, trust, corporation, officer, director or other individual or entity in which any Defendant or Former Defendant has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any of the Defendants or Former Defendants, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors in interest or assigns of the Defendants.

“Former Defendants” means Naphtha Israel Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Naphtha Holding Ltd., I.O.C. – Israel Oil Company, Ltd., Naphtha US Oil, Inc., J.O.E.L. Jerusalem Oil Exploration Ltd., Equital, Ltd., YHK Investment L.P., YHK General Manager Ltd., United Kingsway Ltd., Isramco Negev 2 LP, Max Pridgeon, Asaf Yarkoni, Nir Hasson, Joseph From, Frans Sluiter, and Isramco, Inc.

“Plaintiff’s Released Claims” means as against the Defendant Released Parties, any and all claims, debts, demands, rights or causes of action or liabilities whatsoever (including, but not limited to, any claims for damages, interest, attorneys’ fees, expert or consulting fees, and any other costs, expenses or liability whatsoever), whether based on federal, state, local, statutory or common law or any other law, rule or regulation, whether fixed or contingent, accrued or un-accrued, liquidated or un-liquidated, at law or in equity, matured or un-matured, whether class, individual or derivative in nature, including both known claims and unknown claims, (i) that have been asserted in any count of the Complaint or (ii) that could have been asserted in any forum by Plaintiff (on behalf of himself and/or Isramco, Inc.) or any Class Member against any of the Defendant Released Parties which (even in part) arise out of or are based upon the allegations, transactions, facts, matters or occurrences, representations or omissions giving rise to any count of the Complaint and/or which (even in part) arise out of Plaintiff’s or the Class Members’ status as shareholders, buyers, or sellers of Isramco, Inc. common stock at any point between May 20, 2019 and the October 25, 2019 closing of a going-private transaction with Naphtha Israel Petroleum Corporation Ltd., inclusive (except for claims to enforce the Settlement).

“Plaintiff Released Parties” means Plaintiff, his affiliates, Class Members and Plaintiff’s Counsel.

“Released Claims” means Plaintiff’s Released Claims and Defendant’s Released Claims, collectively or individually.

“Released Parties” means Plaintiff Released Parties and Defendant Released Parties.

“Unknown Claims” means any claim that any Party or any Class Member does not know or suspect exists in his, her or its favor at the time of the release of the Released Claims as against the Released Parties, including without limitation those which, if known, might have affected the decision to enter into the Settlement or to object or not to object to the Settlement. With respect to any of the Released Claims, the Parties stipulate and agree that, upon the occurrence of the Effective Date, the Parties shall expressly and, by operation of the Order and Final Judgment, each Class Member shall be deemed to have, and shall have, expressly waived, relinquished and released any and all provisions, rights and benefits conferred by or under Cal. Civ. Code § 1542 or any law of the United States or any state of the United States or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent to Cal. Civ. Code § 1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

The Parties acknowledge, and Class Members by operation of law shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that they may discover facts in addition to or different from those now known or believed to be true with respect to the Released Claims, but that it is the intention of the Parties, and by operation of law Class Members, to

completely, fully, finally and forever extinguish any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, or heretofore existed, or may hereafter exist, and without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts. The Parties acknowledge, and Class Members by operation of law shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the inclusion of “Unknown Claims” in the definition of “Plaintiff’s Released Claims” was separately bargained for and was a material element of the Settlement and was relied upon by Defendant in entering into this Settlement Stipulation.

WHO ARE THE MEMBERS OF THE CLASS?

52. If the Settlement is approved and the Order and Final Judgment is entered, the Court will certify the Action as a non-opt-out class action pursuant to Court of Chancery Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2). The Class will consist of all persons and entities who owned shares of common stock of Isramco at any point between May 20, 2019 and October 25, 2019, including their respective successors in interest, successors, predecessors in interest, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them.

53. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and the Former Defendants, their affiliates, and members of their immediate families.

HOW WILL THE ATTORNEYS BE PAID?

54. Concurrent with seeking final approval of the Settlement, Plaintiff’s Counsel intends to make a Fee Application to the Court for a Fee and Expense Award up to 22.5% of the Settlement Fund plus reimbursement of reasonable expenses incurred in connection with the Action and as part of the Fee Application. Plaintiff intends to petition the Court for an incentive award of up to \$10,000 to be paid to Plaintiff solely from any Fee and Expense Award awarded by the Court. The Parties acknowledge and agree that any Fee and Expense Award shall be paid solely from the Settlement Fund. The Fee Application shall be the only petition for attorneys’ fees and expenses filed by or on behalf of Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s counsel.

WHEN AND WHERE WILL THE SETTLEMENT HEARING BE HELD? DO I HAVE THE RIGHT TO APPEAR AT THE SETTLEMENT HEARING?

55. The Court will consider the Settlement and all matters related to the Settlement, including the Fee Application, at the Settlement Hearing. The Settlement Hearing will be held before The Honorable Sam Glasscock III on September 6, 2023 at 10:00 a.m., in the Court of Chancery, 34 The Circle, Georgetown, Delaware 19947, or by telephonic conference or videoconference (in the discretion of the Court).

56. Any Class Member who objects to the Settlement, the Fee Application by Plaintiff’s Counsel, or who otherwise wishes to be heard, may appear in person or through his, her, or its attorney at the Settlement Hearing and present any evidence or argument that may be proper and relevant; provided, however, that no such person shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the Settlement, or, if approved, the Order and Final Judgment to be entered thereon, or the allowance of fees and expenses to Plaintiff’s Counsel, or otherwise be heard with respect to the matters considered at the Settlement Hearing unless, no later than fifteen (15) calendar days before the Settlement Hearing, such person files with the Register in Chancery, Court of Chancery, 500 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, the following: (a) a written notice of intention to appear that includes the name, address, and telephone number of the objector and, if represented by counsel, the name and address of the objector’s counsel; (b) proof of membership in the Class; (c) a detailed statement of objections to any matter before the Court; and (d) the grounds thereof or the reasons for wanting to appear and be heard, as well as all documents or writings the Court shall be asked to consider. Any such filings with the Court must also be served upon each of the following counsel (by File & Serve*Xpress*, by hand, by first class mail, or

express service/email) such that they are received no later than fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing:

Jason W. Rigby (#6458)
PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT, P.A.
1310 N. King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Counsel for Plaintiff

S. Mark Hurd (#3297)
Miranda Gilbert (#6662)
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
1201 North Market St., 16th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Danny David
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
910 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas 77002
Email: DLNoticeIramco@BakerBotts.com
Counsel for Defendant Haim Tsuff

57. Unless the Court otherwise directs, any Person who fails to object in the manner described above shall be deemed to have waived and forfeited any and all rights such person may otherwise have to object to the Settlement and/or any Fee and Expense Award to Plaintiff's Counsel (including any right of appeal) and shall be forever barred from raising such objection in the Action or any other action or proceeding. Class Members who do not object need not appear at the Settlement Hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval.

CAN I SEE THE COURT FILE? WHOM SHOULD I CONTACT IF I HAVE QUESTIONS?

58. This Notice does not purport to be a comprehensive description of the Action, the allegations related thereto, the terms of the Settlement, or the Settlement Hearing. For a more detailed statement of the matters involved in the Action, you may inspect the pleadings, the Settlement Stipulation, the Orders entered by the Court, and other papers filed in the Action at the Office of the Register in Chancery in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, Leonard L. Williams Justice Center, 500 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, during regular business hours of each business day. You may further examine select pleadings and documents related to the Action and the Settlement at www.IramcoStockholderLitigation.com. If you have questions regarding the Settlement, you may write or call Plaintiff's counsel: Jason W. Rigby, Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A., 1310 North King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, (302) 888-6500; or J. Daniel Albert, Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, LLP, 280 King of Prussia Road, Radnor, PA 19087, (610) 667-7706.

DO NOT CALL OR WRITE THE COURT OR THE OFFICE OF THE REGISTER IN CHANCERY REGARDING THIS NOTICE.

NOTICE TO PERSONS OR ENTITIES HOLDING RECORD OWNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF OTHERS

59. Brokerage firms, banks, and other persons or entities who held shares of Iramco common stock as record owners, but not as beneficial owners, are directed to either (a) promptly request from A.B. Data, Ltd. ("A.B. Data") sufficient copies of this Notice to forward to all such beneficial owners and after receipt of the requested copies promptly forward the copies of the Notice to all such beneficial owners within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of this Notice; or (b) promptly provide a list of the names and addresses of all such beneficial owners to

A.B. Data at the address below, within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of this Notice, after which A.B. Data will promptly send copies of the Notice to such beneficial owners. Copies of this Notice may be obtained by contacting A.B. Data below.

Isramco Shareholder Litigation
c/o AB Data Ltd
P.O.Box 170990
Milwaukee, WI 53217
Telephone: 1-877-390-3470
Email: info@IsramcoStockholderLitigation.com

BY ORDER OF THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE:

Dated: May 9, 2023